

May 18, 1983

LB 17

no matter how just or unjust it is, and I would hope that many of the members of the Legislature would also explain to the taxpayers that, look, if they could just figure out a way to help that little guy, they would do it. But he can't ask for a special exemption, and in the last analysis somebody has got to pay the taxes and it is going to continue to be him to a higher and higher degree. This is a mistake. I urge the body not to make it. I would make one last point. Senator DeCamp argued that I argued that this was a compromise....

SPEAKER NICHOL: One minute.

SENATOR NEWELL: ....and my amendment is, in fact, a compromise, and I explained that amendment because Senator DeCamp provided me with a copy of the transcript and the copy of the transcript made it perfectly clear that it was as I represented the amendment to be. It is a partial exemption. It does say you do not tax...you do not tax, the way the bill is written now, services unless they are part of the overall package. That is a tradition here and if we started to do that, if we started to unravel that and say we are only going to tax those things that never have any services in them, we would not have, in fact, a sales tax at all. So I urge this Legislature to recognize full well they are taking care of their friends, they are not being fair. They are not worried about tax policy and they certainly have forgot how the process is supposed to work. But it may be no matter. It may be just one of those things we can generally...are unable to deal with in terms of fairness. I urge the rejection of this amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABOUD: Mr. President, colleagues, I rise to support the DeCamp amendment. I have handed out a sheet of paper. It deals...it is about four pages long and what it is is some tables that were done that are part of a study. The study was done by a Joint Economic Committee of the Congress of the United States. The title of the study was Location of High Technology Firms in Regional Economic Development. This study was completed on June the 1st of 1982. If you have some time to look through the packet, the four sheets, the study was done to help states in attracting high technology. High technology industries have accounted for 75 percent of the growth of jobs in the manufacturing sector from 1955 to 1979. This might help to explain why over 33 states have spent over \$250 million to help attract high technology.